IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

-

WRIT PETITION NO: 25051 of 2004

Between:

P.Venkateswarlu, Ongole, Prakasam District, (Ex-Tailoring Supervisor, Child Development Project Office, I.C.A.S.Project.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Managing Director, A.P.Vikalangula Cooperative

Corporation, B.R.K.Bhavan, Hyderabad.
2 The Project Officer, T.C.P.C., A.P.V.C.C.
Ongole, Prakasam District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the respondents in not paying the difference of revised salary of Rs.18,860 of the petitioner on account of revised scales of 1993 for the period from April 1993 to 27-8-1998 as well as not releasing the duty period salary of Rs.3,241/- for 33 days as arbitrary, as unjust and illegal and consequently direct the respondents to release Rs.18,860/- towards difference in salary on account of revised scales and Rs.3,241/- towards duty period salary for 37 days and pass such order or orders

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.P.VENKAT RAO

Counsel for the Respondents : MR.K.RAMANUJACHARI

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed to declare the action of the respondents in not paying the difference of revised salary of Rs.18,860 to the petitioner on account of revised pay scales of 1993 for the period from 01-04-1993 to 27-08-1998 as well as not releasing the duty period salary of Rs.3241/- for 33 days, as arbitrary and illegal.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

Whether the petitioner is entitled for any difference of revised salary of Rs.18,860/- on account of revised pay scales of 1993 for the period from 01-04-1993 to 27-08-1998 and non release of the duty period salary for 33 days have to be considered by the 1st respondent in accordance with law.

As a matter of fact, the 2nd respondent addressed a letter to the 1st respondent dated 25-09-2001, stating that the staff of office of the Project Officer, TCPC, APVCC, Ongole had not participated in the above strike. Hence he requested the Managing Director to take appropriate decision to release the strike period salary. Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the 1st respondent to take appropriate decision and pass necessary orders on the proceedings of the 2nd respondent, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

28-02-2005

Note: Issue C.C in three days.

B/o.

vs

To

1 The Managing Director, A.P.Vikalangula Cooperative

Corporation, B.R.K.Bhavan, Hyderabad.
2 The Project Officer, T.C.P.C., A.P.V.C.C.
Ongole, Prakasam District.

3. 2 CD copies.

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

WRIT PETITION NO: 25051 of 2004

Dated: 28-02-2005

VS